



# LADAS & PARRY

GROUP 175%

RICHARD J. STREIT

Telephone: (312) 427-1300 ext. 214 Email: Richard.Streit@ladas.net

224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

TELEPHONE: (312) 427-1300 TELEFAX: (312) 427-6663 (312) 427-6668

www.ladas.com

26 WEST 61 STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023

5670 WILSHIRE BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90036

52-54 HIGH HOLBORN LONDON WC1V 6RR, ENGLAND

> DACHAUERSTRASSE 37 80335 MUNICH, GERMANY

H

July 16, 2003

Examiner Steven J. Bos
Art Unit 1754
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re: 09/484071

Dear Examiner Bos:

Per our discussion, we are returning the Official Action which issued on the above-referenced application.

Very truly yours

Richard J. Streit

RJS:eab enclosure cu-2110.1



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JUNE STORES TO STORE OF THE STO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO

APPLICATION NO. 09/484,071

FILING DATE 01/18/2000.

SCOTT L. BARHAM

CU-2110-TJK

9227

PAPER NUMBER

7590

07/11/2003

DAS & PARRY 4 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE HICAGO, IL 60604

**EXAMINER** 

BOS, STEVEN J

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	· ·	09/484 071	BARHAM SCOTT I
	ົ Office Action Summary ູ √ຶ່	5 Evamin 5	Art Init
•	Jii.	Steven Bos	1754
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	in appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
Period fo	or Reply		GA ZA
- If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MX statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>19 May 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to		
•	on of Claims		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are pending in th	•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
<u>'</u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
-	Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.	and/or alaction requirement	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
/—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are∷a)[		the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌 🤈	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	•
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	-
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	Application No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation Gee the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	).
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	)	•	The state of the s
Attachmen	t(s)		•
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/484,071

Art Unit: 1754

GROUP 1>ng In claim 1 it appears that -- sodium aluminate solution -- was intended instead of the instantly recited "sodium alumina solution" otherwise "the caustic sodium aluminate solution" in claim 4 would lack proper antecedent basis. Furthermore, "sodium alumina" is not a known compound.

Claim 9 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The polysaccharide to starch ratios recited in claim 9 are outside of the plausible ranges of claims 8 and 1. For example, in claim 8, the maximum polysaccharide is 5 ppm and the minimum starch is 200 ppm so that there could never be a polysaccharide/starch ratio of as high as 9:1.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "a blend of 200-300 ppm of starch and up to 10 ppm of another polysaccharide" is indefinite because "up to 10 ppm" would include zero ppm which conflicts with the language of "a blend ... of starch and ... another polysaccharide." It is not clear whether or not the "another polysaccharide" is in fact included in the "blend"



Application/Control Number: 09/484,071

Art Unit: 1754

due to the "up to 10 ppm" language which includes zero ppm. Similar indefiniteness is in claim 8 because it recites "up to 5 ppm polysaccharide" which would include zero ppm.

Claims 2-6 are objected as being dependent on a rejected base claim.

Applicant's arguments filed May 19, 2003 are persuasive.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.



Application/Control Number: 09/484,071

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 703-308-2537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Steven Bos Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

sjb July 10, 2003

(E)	
A E TO'S	Application/Control No.
	09/484,071
Notice of References Cited	Examiner
Arm of State	Steven Bos
THEN S. S	

Application/Control No.

09/484,071

Examiner

Steven Bos

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
BARHAM, SCOTT L.

Art Unit
Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*	-	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-US005217620A	06-1993	Mahoney et al	<u> </u>
	В	US-3397953	08-1968	Galvin et al	JUNE CEN
	O	US-3085853	04-1963	Lesinski et al	D 24 20 VEL
	D	US-3681012	08-1972	Sibert	POUD 1003
	Ε	US-3541009	11-1970	Arendt et al	1700_
	F	US-5516435	05-1996	Lewellyn	
	G	US-			,
	Н	US-			
	-	US-			
	J	US-			•
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-		·	

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N			<u> </u>		
	0					
	Р		,			
	Q			_		
	R	•				
	S				·	-
	Т	· ·				

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*			Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U		
	V	· .	
	w		
	х		

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.